

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | Fil | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/723,058 | 10/723,058 11/26/2003 | | Michael Roberts | NECW 20.768 | 8639 | |
| 26304 | 7590 | 07/25/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | ROSENMAN LL | FIGUEROA, MARISOL | | | |
| 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | -, | | | 2617 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/723,058 | ROBERTS, MICHAEL | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Marisol Figueroa | 2617 | | |

| | | | j . | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Marisol Figueroa | 2617 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>12 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final reject | ion. | | | | | |
| xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee | | | | | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Office | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | filed within two month | ns of the date of | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered b | ecause | | | | | |
| (a) \(\infty\) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | ,, | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | - | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | _ | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | | II be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | s necessary and | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa lee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | hed. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | No(s) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/723,058

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The newly proposed amendments to the claims directed to the features of "c) further performing measurements in one further neighboring cell on the basis of the information contained in the second group of system information, d) further sending to the network the measurements performed in step c), the further sending operation being performed in a message distinct from the sending operation "alter the scope of the invention previously examined and searched. Such amendments would require further consideration of the prior art of record a AND/OR inherently requires a new search.

Regarding the Information Disclosure statement (IDS) filed on April 20, 2004, the Examiner notices that there is no record of an IDS filed on April 20, 2004 and a courtesy copy in this amendment has not been received as declared in the remarks. The Examiner respectfully requests the applicant to re-submit an official copy of the Information Disclosure Statement for consideration by Examiner.

LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER